1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 5 AT SEATTLE 6 CHRISTOPHER BOFFOLI, 7 Plaintiff, C18-795 TSZ 8 v. MINUTE ORDER 9 ATEMIS LLC, 10 Defendant. 11 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable Thomas S. Zilly, United States District Judge: 12 Plaintiff's renewed motion for default judgment, docket no. 17, is DENIED (1) 13 without prejudice. The Court denied plaintiff's previous motion for default judgment for three reasons, namely (i) failing to articulate a basis consistent with Federal Rule of Civil 14 Procedure 54(b) for entering judgment against only one of several defendants, (ii) failing to provide copies of the certificates of registration or dates of publication and registration 15 for the copyrighted works at issue, and (iii) failing to plead sufficient facts to establish personal jurisdiction over defendant. See Minute Order (docket no. 15). Plaintiff has 16 addressed the first deficiency by dismissing defendants Does 1-5, leaving Atemis LLC ("Atemis") as the sole remaining defendant. See Notice (docket no. 16). With regard to 17 the second problem in its prior motion, plaintiff has filed a Certificate of Registration for the work titled "Disparity Series," as to which Registration No. VAu 1-106-484 became 18 effective on June 13, 2011. Ex. A to Boffoli Decl. (docket no. 18-1). Plaintiff has not, however, offered any description (or copy) of the deposit associated with Registration 19 20 ¹ In his Complaint, plaintiff referenced three other copyright registrations, <u>i.e.</u>, Registration Nos. VAu 1-148-370 (2013), VAu 1-948-517 (2013), and VAu 1-198-948 (2015). Compl. at ¶ 11 (docket no. 1). 21 Plaintiff has not provided copies of the related certificates of registration or indicated with particularity which of the works allegedly infringed by Atemis were among the deposit materials associated with one 22 or more of the various registrations.

23

1	No. VAu 1-106-484, and the Court cannot determine what work is the copyrighted	
	subject matter as to which plaintiff is entitled to n	naintain suit. <u>See</u> 17 U.S.C. §§ 410 &
2	411. On the issue of personal jurisdiction, plainti	ff appears to concede that the Court
	may not exercise general jurisdiction with respect	t to Atemis, and that, at most, the Court
3	has specific jurisdiction as to any claims arising of	
	purposefully directed at residents of Washington. See High Maint. Bitch, LLC v. Uptown	
4	Dog Club, Inc., 2007 WL 3046265 at *2 (W.D. V	
	resides in Washington, the Court is satisfied that any intentional copyright infringement	
5	was purposefully directed at the forum, particular	
	and his counsel sent cease-and-desist corresponde	
6	(docket nos. 1-2 through 1-4); see also Getty Ima	
	WL 4827815 (W.D. Wash. Sep. 9, 2013). With r	
7	allegedly copyrighted works that appeared on Atemis's "Let Eat Go" Facebook page,	
	<u>see</u> Ex. A to Compl. (docket no. 1-1), the record i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8	on August 9, 2015, was represented to have been	•
	(not plaintiff Christopher Boffoli), and was not th	
9	Atemis until January 16, 2018. These facts do no	11 1
10	intentional or willful copyright infringement. Alt	
10	continued to display the pictures at issue on its "Let Eat Go" Facebook page without his authorization, he has not indicated how long after he initiated communications with	
11	Atemis this behavior persisted or whether the images are still being used by Atemis.	
11	Absent further details, the Court cannot conclude that plaintiff has made the requisite	
$_{12}$	showing of willfulness to warrant the magnitude of statutory damages he seeks, namely	
_	\$150,000. ²	
13		
	(2) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Minute Order to all counsel of	
14	record.	
	D-4-14bi- 16th day of O-4-bay 2010	
15	Dated this 16th day of October, 2018.	
16		William M. McCool
	<u> </u>	Clerk
17		
10	<u>s</u>	Karen Dews
18	I	Deputy Clerk
19		
19		
20		
_		
21	² The Court further advises plaintiff that he will not be awa	arded attorney's fees for unsuccessful motions.
	In connection with any renewed motion for default judgme	
22	from the calculation of attorney's fees the time spent on the default judgment.	e previous, as well as the current, motion for
	derauit juuginent.	

MINUTE ORDER - 2

23